

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORN	NEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/616,038	07/09/2003	J. Erik Hitzelberger		380-048	9795	
1009	7590 01/25/2	06		EXAMINER		
KING & SCHICKLI, PLLC 247 NORTH BROADWAY LEXINGTON, KY 40507				TILL, TERRENCE R		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1744		

DATE MAILED: 01/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

an

	Аррисацоп но.	Applicant(s)				
	10/616,038	HITZELBERGER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Terrence R. Till	1744				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>						
Application Papers						
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/23/03.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					

Application/Control Number: 10/616,038 Page 2

Art Unit: 1744

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Veres.
- 3. Veres discloses a dirt container comprising: a housing 24 including a dirt collection chamber; a filter 46 in said dirt collection chamber; a pre-filter 42 in said dirt collection chamber between said filter and a floor of said dirt collection chamber, said pre-filter including at least one airflow passageway 60 in a face of said pre-filter between an inner edge and an outer edge thereof.
- 4. Claims 1-5 and 8-10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Stephens et al.
- 5. Stephens et al. discloses a vacuum cleaner comprising a housing including a nozzle assembly 2 and a canister assembly 16; a dirt container 28 connected to said housing, said dirt container including a collection chamber and a combined handle and inlet flow passageway 46,56; an airstream conduit 12 for conveying a vacuum airstream from said nozzle assembly to said inlet flow passageway; and a fan and motor assembly (located within housing 24) for generating said vacuum airstream and drawing dirt and debris through said airstream conduit and

Application/Control Number: 10/616,038 Page 3

Art Unit: 1744

said inlet flow passageway into said collection chamber. Said dirt container is connected to said canister assembly, said inlet flow passageway includes a delivery port 94 in communication with said collection chamber, said collection chamber is substantially cylindrical in shape and said delivery port is oriented substantially tangentially with respect to said collection chamber (see figure 4), and said dirt container includes a filter 34 and a discharge outlet 62.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 8. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

Application/Control Number: 10/616,038 Page 4

Art Unit: 1744

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 9. Claims 6 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stephens et al. in view of Rockwell et al.
- 10. The patent to Stephens et al. discloses the claimed invention except that Stephens et al. do not disclose a pre-filter partially across the collection chamber and between the filter and the floor of the dirt container. The patent to Rockwell et al. shows a cyclonic filter arrangement that has a dirt container 35, a tangential inlet 40, a filter 88 located within the dirt container and a pre-filter (baffle) 62 extending at least partially across the collection chamber and between the filter and the floor of the dirt container. Thus, the cyclonic filter assembly is an equivalent structure known in the art. Therefore, because these two cyclonic filter assemblies were art-recognized equivalents at the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to substitute the filter assembly of Stephens et la. for the pre-filter and filter assembly of Rockwell in order to have the pre-filter prevent re-entrainment of dirt particles into the cyclonic airflow, which increases separation efficiency.
- 11. Claims 7 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stephens et al., as modified by Rockwell et al., as applied to claims 6 and 11 above, and further in view of Conrad et al.
- 12. Stephens et al., as modified by Rockwell et al., do not disclose said pre-filter includes at least one airflow passageway in a face of said pre-filter between an inner edge and an outer edge thereof. However, the patent to Conrad et al. discloses (figures 16-18) a cyclonic separator arrangement that has a dirt container 46, a tangential inlet 34, an outlet 36 located within the dirt

Application/Control Number: 10/616,038

Art Unit: 1744

container and a "pre-filter" (baffle) 110 extending at least partially across the collection chamber and between the outlet and the floor of the dirt container. Conrad et al. further discloses at least one airflow passageway 52 (figure 18) in a face of said pre-filter between an inner edge and an outer edge thereof. It would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the pre-filter of Stephens et al., as modified by Rockwell et al., to have at least one airflow passageway in a face of said pre-filter between an inner edge and an outer edge thereof in view of the teaching of Conrad et al. as these two pre-filters/baffles were art-recognized equivalents at the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to substitute the pre-filter/baffle of Stephens et al., as modified by Rockwell et al., with the pre-filter/baffle of Conrad et al.

Page 5

- 13. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sepke '804 in view of Rockwell et al.
- 14. The patent to Sepke et al. discloses a vacuum cleaner, comprising a housing including a nozzle assembly 12 and a canister assembly 58; a dirt container 18 connected to said housing, said dirt container including a collection chamber holding a filter 60; an airstream conduit 34 for conveying a vacuum airstream from said nozzle assembly to said dirt container; and a fan and motor assembly 50 for generating said vacuum airstream and drawing dirt and debris through said airstream conduit into said collection chamber. Sepke does not disclose a pre-filter located between the filter and floor of the dirt collection chamber characterized by at least one airflow passageway in a face of said pre-filter between an inner edge and an out edge. The patent to Rockwell et al. shows a cyclonic filter arrangement that has a dirt container 35, a tangential inlet 40, a filter 88 located within the dirt container and a pre-filter (baffle) 62 extending at least

Art Unit: 1744

partially across the collection chamber and between the filter and the floor of the dirt container. It would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a pre-filter/baffle to Sepke '804 between the filter and floor of the dirt container in view of the teaching of Rockwell et al. in order to have the pre-filter prevent re-entrainment of dirt particles into the cyclonic airflow, which increases separation efficiency

#### Conclusion

15. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Semon, Oh et al., Thur et al., Hamrick, Bair et a., Hawkins et al. and Sepke et al. '453 show the current state of the art in cyclonic separation apparatus.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Terrence R. Till whose telephone number is (571) 272-1280. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. through Thurs. and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sun U. Kim can be reached on (571) 272-1142. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/616,038

Art Unit: 1744

Terrence R. Till
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1744

Page 7

trt